PCC Received June 27, 1996 (c. 9.50 a.m.

RIG

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS **COMMISSION**

RECEIVED

MM DOCKET No.:

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 96-110 OF SECRETARY

File No.: BRH-950814UC

Volume: 1

Pages: 1 through 9

In Re Applications of:

For Renewal of License for

Bayboro, North Carolina

WKZF-FM, INC.

Station WKZF (FM)

Place:

Washington, D.C.

Date:

June 19, 19 16

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, NW, Suite 600 Washington, D.C. (202) 628-4888

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In Re Applications of:

WKZF-FM, INC.

For Renewal of License for Station WKZF (FM)

Bayboro, North Carolina

MM DOCKET No.: 96-110

File No.: BRH-950814UC

Bayboro, North Carolina

Suite 201 FCC Building 2000 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

Wednesday, June 19, 1996

The parties met, pursuant to the notice of the Judge, at 9:19 a.m.

BEFORE: HON. JOHN M. FRYSIAK
Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of WKZF-FM, Inc.:

RICK D. RHODES, ESQUIRE Irwin, Campbell & Tannenwald, P.C. 1730 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20036-3101 (202) 728-0400

On behalf of the Commission:

ALAN ARONOWITZ, ESQUIRE Federal Communications Commission Bureau of Enforcement 2025 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 (202) 418-1426

Appearances: (Continued)

On behalf of the Commission:

ROBERT ZAUNER, ESQUIRE Federal Communications Commission Mass Media Bureau 2025 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 (202) 418-1796 INDEX

VOIR WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS DIRE

None.

EXHIBITS

<u>IDENTIFIED</u> <u>RECEIVED</u> <u>REJECTED</u>

None.

Hearing Began: 9:19 a.m. Hearing Ended: 9:29 a.m.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: Good morning, all. We are here for a
3	pre-hearing conference in the matter of WKZF-FM, Inc. for
4	renewal of license, Bayboro, North Carolina. May we note
5	your appearances for the record.
6	MR. RHODES: Rick Rhodes, Irwin, Campbell and
7	Tannenwald, in Washington.
8	MR. ARONOWITZ: Alan Aronowitz, and Bob Zauner,
9	for the Mass Media Bureau, FCC.
10	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Well, the
11	station has gone dark. What do we have to say?
12	MR. RHODES: The station is dark, but the station
13	currently is dark pursuant to an authorization to remain
14	silent granted by the Commission back in late 1995, and the
15	way we read the information the station would still have
16	authorization to remain dark due to engineering work in an
17	upgrade, or rather a modification up until approximately
18	mid-July.
19	At this point, the station licensees are taking
20	very aggressive steps to work on and prosecute an
21	application with a request for expedited processing for the
22	minor modification that is necessary, and they have also
23	made contact with the engineers, and are establishing a time
24	table by which we think the station will be able to be back

on the air, and operating, despite some technical problems

25

- 1 that have come up.
- We think that they will be able to make it by the
- 3 third quarter of the year, and be on the air probably by
- 4 sometime in September.
- 5 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Aronowitz. Do you
- 6 have any comments on that?
- 7 MR. ARONOWITZ: We have met and discussed this,
- 8 and we will support Mr. Rhodes' client. We are concerned,
- 9 insofar that there is an existing authorization for the
- 10 station to be silent going through July, what we would
- 11 propose to do working together, or as we have worked
- 12 together, is that Mr. Rhodes would go and secure, or attempt
- 13 to secure expedited processing, and construct the station by
- 14 the end of September.
- What then we -- so, we would generally support
- 16 their efforts.
- 17 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Oh, obviously -- at present the
- 19 hearing date is scheduled for, I believe, September 17th,
- 20 mid-September. In order to accommodate the licensee's
- 21 efforts, we would still want to hold a hearing date, but we
- 22 would respectfully request that it be extended to the end of
- 23 September to give them an opportunity to do this.
- 24 And then we will be able to proceed to hearing
- should this not occur in an expeditious manner, and we would

- 1 accommodate them a couple of weeks.
- THE COURT: All right. Would you require any
- 3 other filings before the hearing date?
- 4 MR. ARONOWITZ: We would still want to do exhibit
- 5 exchanges, and witness notification. However, we would do
- 6 pin those dates to a hearing date, target those dates to a
- 7 hearing date at the end of September.
- 8 THE COURT: Well, addressing those hearing dates,
- 9 and exhibits, how soon can you get them ready?
- 10 MR. ARONOWITZ: The exhibits, in terms of time
- 11 table, and milestones and that sort of thing?
- 12 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: I think we could have those ready
- 14 probably in two to three weeks. The reason that I am not
- 15 absolutely --
- 16 THE COURT: From today, you mean, or --
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Right. The reason that a date
- 18 certain is difficult is that the consulting engineers at the
- 19 moment are reviewing the proposed directional antenna
- 20 pattern, which is part of the minor mod, and they are
- 21 telling us that it is going to be approximately two weeks
- from about now before they can finalize the engineering
- 23 study for the application that we would need to process at
- 24 the Commission.
- 25 And so between now and then I will talk to the

- 1 people in the FM branch to try to secure their assistance in
- 2 expediting the application. Once we have found out from
- 3 them their thinking on that subject, it will be much easier
- 4 then for us to give you a complete rundown of milestones and
- dates, in terms of both what we are hoping for on the
- 6 application processing, finalization of engineering, and
- 7 actual construction and erection -- well, not erection of
- 8 the tower. It's already there -- but attachment of the
- 9 antenna to the tower, and setting up the studio equipment.
- 10 THE COURT: All right. Well, so would you be
- ready for a hearing by the 25th of September?
- MR. ARONOWITZ: The 25th would be okay, but if we
- 13 could make it around the end of the month, and give us a few
- 14 extra days, in terms of just trying to meet any milestones
- 15 we may set. I'm thinking based on a general review of the
- 16 facts that the end of September, from a technical
- standpoint, is about as close as we think we can come to
- 18 being up and running.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. Do you have a calendar?
- MR. ARONOWITZ: I do.
- THE COURT: All right. Well, September 30th is
- the last day, and it is a Monday.
- 23 MR. ARONOWITZ: That would be fine.
- THE COURT: Do you want to go to October 1 then?
- MR. ARONOWITZ: October 1 would be good.

- 1 THE COURT: We will set the hearing date for
- October 1, and you would require the exchange of exhibits at
- 3 least three weeks before that date, and that would give us
- 4 September 10th.
- 5 And notification of witnesses for cross-
- 6 examination a week before trial, before the hearing?
- 7 MR. ARONOWITZ: Yes.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. That would make it
- 9 September 24th. All right. Is there any other assistance
- 10 that we can give you?
- 11 MR. RHODES: I think at this point that is
- 12 probably about all that we can do, and all that we can ask
- 13 for. We will certainly as soon as the engineering is
- 14 complete, so that we can provide a good picture to the FM
- 15 Branch of what we are doing, we will certainly work with
- 16 them.
- And we would hopefully be able to come back and
- 18 review that matter once we got a chance to discuss it with
- 19 the FM Branch if there are any problems. But we don't
- 20 anticipate any.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Your Honor, there is still the
- 22 possibility that the FM Branch may not process this
- 23 application. That is one of the things that we are
- 24 concerned about. We've -- it is Mr. Rhodes' burden to go
- 25 over there and inform them, and seek the expedited action.

- However, should the Bureau not act on this, we may
 then be in a situation where we can just go forward, and we
 may not have to wait until September.

 THE COURT: Well, I can appreciate that. Right.

 If you are going to expedite the case without a hearing,
 that would be great. And if you satisfy the Agency, there
 would be no problem, right?
- 8 MR. RHODES: Right.
- 9 THE COURT: But in the meantime we will set a
- 10 procedural schedule so that they proceed with full
- 11 anticipation and resolvement, okay?
- MR. RHODES: Okay.
- 13 THE COURT: So, then the agreed dates are
- 14 September 10th as the filing of exhibits on the designation
- order, and September 24th the notification of witnesses, and
- October 1st, the hearing, if need be here in Washington.
- 17 And in between I am always available for whatever
- 18 discussions you need to have. Okay?
- MR. RHODES: Thank you, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. We
- 21 stand adjourned then.
- (Whereupon, at approximately 9:29 a.m., the
- 23 hearing was concluded.)
- _ 24 //
 - 25 //

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

FCC DOCKET NO.: 96-110

WKZF-FM, INC. CASE TITLE:

June 19, 1996 HEARING DATE:

Washington, D. C. LOCATION:

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

6/19/96 Date:

Official Reporter
Heritage Reporting Corporation

1220 "L" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Gary A. Sabel

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence were fully and accurately transcribed from the tapes and notes provided by the above named reporter in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date: 6/21/96

Official Transcriber

Heritage Reporting Corporation

Paul Intravia

PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the transcript of the proceedings and evidence in the above referenced case that was held before the Federal Communications Commission was proofread on the date specified below.

Date:

6/24/96

Official Proofreader

Heritage Reporting Corporation

Barbara A. Blossom